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Author’s response to reviews:

1. In the manuscript you have stated "The consent for publication of the individual details and images was obtained from each patient" We also note that you have presented the case of a 10 year old child as Figure 4. Please clarify whether you have obtained consent for publication from the parent(s)/legal guardian(s) of the 10 year old child presented in figure 4. as they are under the age of consent.

Reply: The written consent for publication of the images of the 10 year old child was obtained from his parents. For patients under 18 year old, the written consent was obtained from his or her parent(s) or legal guardian(s). These were stated in the manuscript.

2. Please confirm whether informed consent to participate, written or verbal, was obtained from all participants and clearly state this in your manuscript. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure. If the need for consent was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

Reply: Written consent to participate was obtained from each patient or his or her parent(s) or legal guardian(s). This was stated in the manuscript.