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I would like to thank the Associated Editor for the invitation in this review.

The manuscript is dealing with a rare ocular manifestation of a patient affected by with MPGN type III and associated with multiple drusenoid deposits between the RPE and Bruch's membrane and a type 1 CNV which had 1 year of follow up.

1- Did the patient have metamorphopsias on the right eye? Please specify

2- The multiple RPE protrusions on the OCT can be labeled as dome-shaped elevations.

3- There is a clear discrepancy between the fundus images and the FFA images. Please specify in the text

4- In this case the value of the ERG is limited, the question raising would be why the authors have not employed the EOG test? Please specify in the text

5- There is a redundancy between the case presentation and the description of the images. Please provide

6- Please try to discuss the nature of the CNV in the light of its reaction towards the medication with steroids. Is it a 100% Pseudo-AMD related phenomenon or has it also an inflammatory nature?

7- Try to discuss the similarities between the thickening of the glomerular basement membrane and the Bruch's membrane in the context of MPGN.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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