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Reviewer's report:

Comments to the authors:

In the patients evaluation you used the Krimisky method to measure the angle of strabismus although all the patients have 20/20 vision in both eyes. It was better to use the prism - cover method for measuring the full angle (phoria and tropia) you also measure the convergence fusional amplitudes only and not the divergence amplitude which is very important to demonstrate divergence insufficiency in your cases.

It was better to do Hess screen testing to all patients to be sure that there is no any incomitancy which may be present in some apparently no- limited mobility cases.

It was better to do force generation test on the lateral rectus muscle to assess its strength in such cases to support the postulation of its weakness.

The correlation between the degree of myopia, the near work time per day and its duration before the onset of the diplopia need to be studied may be in larger number of patients.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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