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1. Table 4. Usually, index values are not normally distributed data. Paired-T test is not suitable here. I recommend "Wilcoxon signed-rank test". Moreover, for t test, both t value and P value should be given. For Wilcoxon signed-rank test, both Z value and P value should be given, too.

2. Only 9 eyes were reported in this study, but the data are not complete. I suggest the author report the details of the follow-ups. A table or a flowchart is recommended to demonstrate how many eyes were measured at each time point.

3. Obviously, accurate centration is important in SMILE, as well as in Re-SMILE. But I do not think the current data of this study can support the conclusion of "In Re-SMILE, accurate centration with respect to the primary SMILE procedure is of particular importance". Because no control group was set in the study. The data in this study can only support that "Re-SMILE may be an effective and safe procedure for SMILE enhancement".

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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