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Reviewer’s report:

This is an interesting and well written case report. Notwithstanding, there are some comments to make:

Page 7, line 32: Scleral perforation is a complication that should be added to the list1.


Images (figures 1, 2 and 3) were not able to be seen due to the fact that they are not supported by PDF. Please, provide images that can be used in this file type in order to be properly assessed.

Page 8, line 57: It would be of interest to include an image of the orbital MRI, showing the peribulbar lesion.

Page 9, line 16: Reference 15 is not necessary in this sentence.

It should be strengthen in discussion section that not only extensive, but also prolonged systemic and topical medical treatment is needed for the suitable management of fungal scleritis1. Early discontinuation of the antifungal therapy may predispose to recurrences of the active infection.


Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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