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Reviewer's report:

This article is about a rare case of bilateral CCA with concurrent occipital infraction.

The diagnostic procedure is well composed following a step by step pattern on the examinations that were performed. All pictures were complimenting the article as needed so that someone reading the it could have a vivid sense of the case. Even a thorough cardio logical examination was performed so that the infrastructure cause could be identified. A very nice point is that of the typical and atypical signs of the patient.

Finally the conclusion made clear the meaning of the article and the message that 3rd 4th and 6th cranial nerve dysfunction along with V1 and V2 branches of the trigeminal should be investigate on a brain imaging exam paying attention to the CCA.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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