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Author’s response to reviews:

Thank you again for your evaluation and good comments. We've reviewed the parts you mentioned. (the changes are marked in red)

Reviewer reports:

Maria A. Woodward (Reviewer 2): Figure 2a, 2c, 2D are not additive to the manuscript. They show figures with non-significant p values and could be omitted.

Answer ➤ We excluded figures that are non-significant as you said.

Tables: Typically, non-significant p values are shown to two decimal places in Tables. This will make the tables less "busy"

Answer ➤ Thank you for your good point. To make the table less "busy", p-values and each item values are modified to be shown to two decimal places in a uniform manner.

"However, only 5 patients (11%) who underwent cataract surgery were too small to be classified as a separate group, as the authors think, and the effect of age may be greater than that of the surgery."
* it be more accurate to say that: the patients who underwent cataract surgery were statistically significantly older than the phakic patients, so no conclusion can be drawn if age or the procedure (not "surgery") affected the cell density.

"Although the number of pseudophakic eyes was small, we perform overall tests in phakic eyes. Only the CV significantly changed, as above."

* The above is unclear. What about: we performed analyses again removing the pseudophakic eyes and found that only the CV significantly changed, as above.

Answer: We modified as you said (the changes are marked in red). Thank you.