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Reviewer's report:

The number of patients is sufficient as this is a relatively rarely seen entity.

The group 1 should be named as Medically treated group.

It is written that intravitreal ganciclovir was injected at the beginning and then pro re nata.

However the number of injections should be given for the whole group 1 patients.

The timing of steroids was not mentioned.

Mostly 48-72 hours later the systemic steroids are commenced. What was the authors’ preference?

How about acetyl salisilic acid? Did the authors give it or not?

Did they perform encircling band? This is not clear.

There is no need to give the diagnostic criteria as a separate figure as the reference number is already given.

Color figures do not add to the manuscript as they are ordinary ARN pictures. However maybe a complex patient who had been surgically treated can be incorporated.

Though the total number of patients are satisfactory it cannot be said that there was no difference between treatment patterns as the conclusion.

The saying should be softened.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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