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Author’s response to reviews:

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editors for the opportunity to revise the manuscript. The following questions were addressed and the Manuscript should be suitable at this time. Again, thank you.

Minor comment: The authors wrote: "Twenty one (21) total cadaver eyes were utilized. Three (3) eyes were measured at each of the following seven (7) intracameral pressures (5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60mm Hg). Measurements were completed five (5) times by two (2) different examiners (10 total) with each prism." It still looks like only three eyes have been used, measured multiple times, please rephrase so that it is clear in this sentence how all the 21 eyes have been used.

Line 249  Twenty one (21) total cadaver eyes were utilized. The eyes were measured at each of the following seven (7) intracameral pressures (5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60mm Hg). Measurements were completed five (5) times by two (2) different examiners (10 total) with each prism.