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Reviewer's report:

The authors describe a case of repositioning of an Express with impending extrusion due to previous malpositioning. Please find below some comments:

- The authors should highlight more clearly why their report is original or in other words what the report add to the existing knowledge.

- The authors stated "To make sufficient space for easy adjustment, an incision with the super sharp blade was made in the area adjacent to the shunt" but it is not clear in which area and in which direction the incision was performed (e.g. parallel or orthogonal to the limbus, from the implant outward or not, etc.) nor it is clear from the figures.

- According to the description of the surgical procedure it seems that 0.04% mitomicin-C was applied after the implant was repositioned. There are some concerns regarding this point. First it is not described how the MMC was applied (e.g. with sponges) and more importantly it seems that MMC was applied with the scleral flap open and the implant in place thus with high risk of MMC penetration into the anterior chamber. This is a risky procedure that should be avoided and I think it should not be reported on a scientific paper in order to avoid any readers doing the same. The authors should describe if they considered this risk and if any procedure aimed at reducing it was put in place.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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