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Reviewer’s report:

Although an interesting case, there are important issues that need to be clarified before considering publication.

- There is a lack of spaces between words along the manuscript.

- Intravitreal foscarnet is most commonly used than intravitreal ganciclovir worldwide, equally viral culture is currently abandoned as PCR technology is broadly used - please rewrite introduction.

- A positive serology does not confirm the diagnosis of ARN, the PCR positive for VZV confirms the diagnosis of VZV retinitis.

- Not sure how Terson's syndrome was diagnosed. Did the patient had a subarachnoid haemorrhage? This is not clear as currently written. Fluorescein angiography at the moment of the vitreous haemorrhage could be helpful to prove that the vitreous haemorrhage was not secondary to retinal neovascularization due to the occlusive arteritis, which is rather more common than a Terson's syndrome.

- What was the time frame between intravitreal ganciclovir injections?

- What was the dose of the oral prednisone/prednisolone?

- What was the evolution of the brain findings? Were this findings a consequence of viral encephalitis?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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