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Reviewer’s report:

The Abstract is difficult to read because of extensive English misspells.

It is not very clear from reading the Abstract how the diagnosis of Terson was made; the MRI findings seems consistent with herpes encephalitis, or am I mistaken? The Conclusion of the Abstract is a bit far fetched... MRI can help identify "other causes of atypical clinical features of ARN accompanied with Terson's syndrome"? I am not sure about the meaning of this sentence.

BACKGROUND

Some repetitions that render the reading difficult (retinal retinitis). Please remove the sentence "hemorrhage of the retina...is uncommon".

CASE PRESENTATION

Once again, no spaces between the words. The Authors seem not to have checked the pdf before approving the manuscript.

I have some issues with this Person diagnosis. The Author mention that a "headache was diagnostic of increased intracranial pressure". Was a lumbar puncture performed? The diagnosis of Terson seems to be based here only on vitreous hemorrhage, can the Author confirm that a tap was high and thus the intracranial pressure was elevated? Otherwise this Terson seems a bit stretched.

The images are striking but major editing to the manuscript language and clarification on the Terson diagnosis are necessary

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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