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Reviewer's report:

I congratulate the authors on their Manuscript entitled "A case of vitreous hemorrhage and rhegmatogenous retinal detachment that developed after botulinum toxin injection to extraocular muscle." The manuscript is well written. It describes a rare complication that could be of interest to readers of the journal. There are a couple of points upon review of the manuscript. Firstly in both the abstract and body of the case report in Lines 6 and 14 As well as in the case presentation they use the term alternative cover test as opposed to the proper terminology which is ALTERNATE cover test.

In addition, while the injection was done under EMG guidance this was not elucidated until the discussion and should be noted. Given that there is more than 1 method for botulinum toxin injection with respect to direct visualization versus EMG I believe another cautionary statements should be included in that the patient had previous history of refractive surgery which might have alerted the surgeons to the fact that they were previously a high myope and at greater risk of perforation of the sclera due to their refractive error. This might change the approach to direct visualization in order to ensure that there is not an inadvertent perforation even if guided by EMG.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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**Declaration of competing interests**
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I had no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)). I understand that any comments
which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal