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Reviewer’s report:

The paper is very interesting, especially because it explores a very different environment from the one I'm used to live in.

I understand the lack of visual field test in this setting but you should improve the optic nerve differential diagnosis through:

1- Match the IOP with ONH appearance;

2- Exclude tilted disk;

3- Evaluate ONH color;

4- Check color vision;

5- Measure the optic disc size with the slit beam; a large disc will have a large cup and may be normal, on the other side a small disc with a small C/D ratio may have glaucomatous optic neuropathy; The Disc Damage Likelihood Scale (DDLS) is a very good method.

6- Asses ISNT rule;

7- Disc hemorrhages?

Please add data about IOP.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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