Dear Dr. Neri,

Thank you for the letter. The response of the reviewer’s points is as below. Please let us know if there is anything needs to be further improved.

Reviewer #1:

The authors’ explanation for the estimation based on the revised results is almost sufficient. However, author did not explain why author did not perform angiography in present case. Author insisted that iris neovascularization in present case was caused by ischemic, not inflammation. So, author should prove it. If the patient had the allergy for fluorescence, author should explain it in the manuscript.

We apologize that we didn’t explain clearly and correctly. Yes, you are right. The iris neovascularization can be caused by inflammation. Some researchers have reported that in uveitis patients the VEGF level up regulated in aqueous humor and vitreous (J Ophthalmic Vis...
So in this case, we used anti-VEGF agent to treat the iris neovascularization and the result showed it was helpful. As the patient has obvious retinal detachment, and there was no sign of neovascularization in the fundus, so we didn’t do the angiography.

Thank you again for the advice.

All the best regards,

Liu Yang