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Reviewer's report:

In the manuscript "Ophthalmic knowledge and practice among type 2 diabetic subjects: Experience in a tertiary care hospital in Bangladesh" the authors have assessed the knowledge and practice patterns of type 2 diabetic subjects with respect to ophthalmic care. The manuscript is well written and may be accepted for publication. The following comments are merely observations and not meant to be critical of work done.

1) In the methods when the patients are judged as poor, average or good, against what standard protocol are they judged. In other words, what was the standard response key against which the responses of the subjects were judged? This is not clearly presented in the manuscript.

2) I understand that the subjects are patients with diabetes and already under the care of doctors in a tertiary medical facility. It would be interesting to know what the results would be if KAP was tested among general diabetic population where the quality of care of these subjects may be more diverse and also more representative of the actual situation in Bangladesh.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics.

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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