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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Overall this is an interesting paper on the effectiveness of modified EN-DCR using T-type ventilation tube. However There are a lot of English language issues that need to be addressed before this is accepted: someone who is fluent in English needs to thoroughly read and edit the manuscript.

In the methods section, the author should describe about the design of this study, whether prospective or retrospective.

Page 4, line 29-33, the author should annotate proper reference to the following sentence, "high deviated septum, which might influence the surgery effect."{Page 4, line 29-33, the author should annotate proper reference to the following sentence, "high deviated septum, which might influence the surgery effect."}

The description of the surgical technique is hard to understand. Especially the figures are not understandable. More detail figures or schematic drawing easy to understand are necessary. Adding a video file for this technique can be a good way to explain

In the results section, in table 2 the author should compare the number of revisional surgery, the reasons of failure, timing from last failure to revision and compare them between the two groups which may affect the success rates.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? 
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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