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Reviewer's report:

Inclusion criteria: Although prior studies, including the Jacobs/Mahoney study, have used >6 months as an age cutoff, this seems unusually low for ANAET, and almost certainly would include some congenital esotropias. I am not sure how one would determine if the onset was acute, subacute, acquired or congenital in that very young age group. That being said, it is part of the literature standard, but I would consider a higher age cutoff.

Throughout the paper (line 93 and others): Replace "at far" with "at distance"

Line 82: replace "also, the patients with" with "Patients with associated findings of.."

Line 83: I would also add Inferior Oblique Overaction to the list of disqualifying conditions as a marker for congenital esotropia (there were 2 patients included)

Line 84 Replace "had been" with "was"

Line 113 Correct typo. should read "... on posteroperative day 1, 3, ...":

Line 160 Consider replacing "often associated" with either "sometimes associated" or preferably "occasionally associated"

Line 168 Do you mean "comitant" instead of concomitant?

Line 177 Consider "... so in this respect, there is some limitation in comparing ..." (corrects tense and typo)

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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