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Reviewer's report:

Good case report.

Please provide some additional information including:

- Was this unilateral or bilateral cataract surgery? Since it is mentioned it occurred 6 weeks after cataract surgery and the symptoms occurred simultaneously in the two eyes, it would imply a bilateral surgery. In which case if symptoms were noted in both eyes at the same time, late endophthalmitis would be a legitimate concern. Please clarify.

- Was any anterior segment inflammation noted at the time of presentation? Were there any other signs to suspect endophthalmitis besides hyperemia and corneal edema?

- List specific medications used rather than 'anti-microbial' and 'steroid' LINE 113

- Presumably topical antibiotics were added due to the possibility of endophthalmitis since no epithelial defect was noted. Would the authors have covered again with antibiotics if they had another case with a similar presentation?

Minor revisions:

- Specify in case report text the amount of time from surgery i.e. post-operative week 6 rather than October 2015. LINE 99

- discussion of loss of endothelial cell count in other cases. Reference specifically that no endothelial loss was noted in their case rather than 'no damage to corneal endothelial cells' LINE 147
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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