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Reviewer’s report:

The authors are to be congratulated for their thoughtful response to my critique. I believe they have addressed my concerns and have several small changes I recommend they make before final acceptance:

Minor Essential Revisions

1) They indicate that a Cronbach's alpha over 0.7 represents an acceptable reliability. The citation they given was not an adequate mathematical source for this, or if they prefer change the wording to, "...we considered a Cronbach’s alpha score over 0.7 to represent a reliable scale."

2) I think I understand now that they used BCVA instead of presenting visual acuity. I would recommend they explain the reasons in the manuscript. Another question about the visual acuity is what kind of score they used in the regression model: the Snellen equivalent or the logmar score?

3) Is the reliability reported in the present study superior to the original Hongkong study? It might still be good in the Discussion section to comment on how the results of the two studies compared.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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