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Reviewer’s report:

Minor Essential Revisions:
Line 198-200: "There was insufficient data about adverse effects, restricting the ability of meta-analyses to evaluate the efficacy of adverse effects occurring." This sentence needs to be re-phrased.

Line 218-219. Does the author mean "the frequency of retreatments for both PDT and anti-VEGF groups is not uniform in different studies"?

Discretionary revision
Line 253-254. "This seemed reasonable, because only two studies in the meta-analysis reported this complication." Why does the author think this is reasonable?
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