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Reviewer's report:

The authors have done passably well in responding to the reviewers' concerns.

1. The years of study and of the PPP guidelines used should be included in the Abstract and in the main text Methods.

2. The Conclusions of the study (in the Abstract and in the main text) should state that structural issues (clinic technical support, access to testing equipment), which could not be quantified well by the current study, may have contributed to the residents' performance.

3. Did this study result in any codified changes at Wilmer in resident education? If so, an interesting follow up study is waiting.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
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