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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed all points well and it now reads as a very good paper.

Minor Essential Revisions

Could the authors please clarify in the methods how they selected the 8 cases for the residents - eg was it the first 8 glaucoma patients they saw? did they only see 8 glaucoma patients per study period? The reason I think this is important is that if they only selected the first 8 glaucoma patients seen then there is a learning effect for the clinic - perhaps the last 8 glaucoma patients seen by residents would have better compliance with the PPP

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
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