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Reviewer’s report:

Well conceived manuscripts and well written. Data is sound. The emphasis on resident education is correct. Some minor corrections prior to publication

1. Faculty were using EMR vs Residents Charts. It should be clarified how this could have affected the study. EMR can self populate a lot of information vs Chart where everything has to be hand written. Also, do you know if some of the one time checks like cct are recorded in a particular site in the chart and the chart reviewer looked for it

Resident charts - Are these resident clinics supervised and if so, they were told/instructed to follow the PPP

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.

Declaration of competing interests:

NONE