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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

1. Please explain why the data of cardiovascular disorders n are different between table 1 and 2.

2. Total number is not matched with table 1. Please check all data for each figure data
Example) On table 1, total N must be 150 instead of 149.

3. Please make one more line for other group(6 patients with other treatments) on table 1

4. Please make separation line between AAP and CP on table 4.

5. Please add " plus prednisone" for cabazitaxel on Table 4.

6. Please write the full name for the abbreviated name which comes first, then use the abbreviated name after second time.

   Example)
   - abstract-29 lines: CI, LDH□ confidence interval (CI), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)
   - Page 11-17 lines: alkaline phosphatase-> AP

7. Please write first decimal place of number of Page 9.

   Example) Page 9-53lines: 24%->23.5%, 80%->79.9%

8. Please unify the number with the same way
Example) Page 9-29~33 lines: Sixty-three patients~, 31 ~, and 56 -> Sixty-three patients~, thirty-one~, and fifty-six~

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

**Declaration of competing interests**
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal