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Decision letter only contains comments from the editor which are answered below

Editor Comments:

1 - In the Funding section of the Declarations please indicate the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript. If no specific funding was received for this study, please clearly indicate this in the Funding section.
As requested, the role of the funding body has been included. It reads as follows: "Janssen-Cilag S.A. was involved in the design of the study, interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript. Quality control and statistical analyses were performed by a contract research organization that was funded by Janssen-Cilag S.A."

2 - Please note if you wish to acknowledge someone by their full name in the Acknowledgements, please ensure you have obtained permission from them to so do.

We have acknowledged the participation of Fernando Rico-Villademoros MD. We have got the permission from him to be acknowledged.

3 - At this stage, please upload your proofread manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethrough or text in different colours. All relevant tables and figures should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

We have uploaded a revised version of the manuscript. This version includes the role of the funding body and it is a clean version.

There is a single comment from one of the reviewers. However, it does not require an answer.