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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this very interesting, timely, and well-written paper. I have very little to comment on the paper, as it was very clearly developed in terms of the scope, the methods, results and the interpretation of findings. I have only one suggestion, which represents an important point overlooked in the paper. On page 9, line 39-43 and on page 12 line 35 it is stated that participants had an erroneous understanding of the impact of MTP on their insurance status. This finding has not been explored adequately in the data or in the discussion. Cost-related access to care and insurance discrimination are significant concerns of cancer patients. Did this issue came up with other participants? Was this (erroneous) perception explored further in the interviews? Please, remove the comments about erroneous insurance perceptions from the results section and address them in the discussion.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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