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"REVISION ASSESSMENT FROM THE ACADEMIC PEER REVIEWER:

Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? No

Reviewer comments: Some of the changes have been done. However a consort diagram would help readers with the flow of the article. Some sentences have to be rephrased, for example Page 23 Line 17 to 20: ""This population is so small that it is impossible to perform any randomized study""; this might sound like speculation and can be rephrased as ""Due to limitations of small sample size further evaluation is necessary"".

Some parts of the article need to be re-structured; for example Page 14 line 48; the description of your cohort should only be limited to methods and materials section.

Some sentences do not make sense. For example page 16 line 37; ""This requires to strengthen education of penile cancer prevention and treatment"

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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