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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Chalisa Iamsrithong:

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript entitled “Non-functional pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours: Emerging trends in incidence and mortality (BCAN-D-18-02315R2). We also appreciate you for your careful reading and professional comments on our manuscript.

We have carefully revised the manuscript according to the suggestions. Some necessary revisions have been made, and a clean version of my manuscript has been submitted.

We sincerely hope that our revised version will be satisfactory for publication in BMC Cancer. Great thanks to you for the time and effort you expend on this paper.
If you have any other questions or suggestions, please contact us without hesitate. Your prompt consideration of our manuscript will be greatly appreciated. The point to point responds are listed as following:

Comment 1: Currently, the contributions of authors LW do not automatically qualify them for authorship. In the section “Authors’ contributions”, please provide further clarifications on their contributions, and see our guidelines for authorship below.

Response: We appreciate your suggestion. Sorry for our negligence because the expression of authorship is not standardized in our manuscript. Authors LW had drafted our work and revised the manuscript, but we used the words “writing - review and editing” in the section “Authors’ contributions”. The contribution of data confirmation, we used the word of “validation”. Now, we executed the criteria of authorship mentioned in the paper (McNutt et al., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences) and standardized our expression in the section “Authors’ contributions”. The words of “writing - review and editing” were replaced with “work drafting and manuscript revision”, and “validation” was replaced with "data confirmation".

Sorry again for our negligence.