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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting study on NLR and PLR in GCTBs which revealed they are associated with postoperative recurrence and NLR was an independent risk factor for potential clinical predictive value.

I have a few comments:

1. There were serious problems on the design of this study. No control was found in the manuscript as the author described, the results of peripheral blood tests were not compared with those of normal population.

2. It might not be so accuracy for optimal cutoff values of NLR and PLR because the sample size is too small, that might lead to controversial conclusion.

3. The Figures are not clear.

4. The description of imaging and methods should be more detailed, special for figure legends.

5. There are many, many English errors in the paper that must be corrected before the paper can be considered further, for example Page 11 Line 27. It would be helpful if have a native English speaker to review the paper with you.

6. It not focused on the clinical significance between NLR, PLR and the progression and prognosis of GCTBs in Discussion section.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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