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Reviewer's report:
This is an interesting paper on HCPs' views about the management of men with advanced prostate cancer since the results of the STAMPEDE and CHAARTERED trials and attitudes towards exercise as a supportive therapy for this group.

However, I did not review the original manuscript and it is difficult to see how well the original reviewers' comments have been addressed and what points 1-6 were?
After 2 readings I feel the manuscript would benefit from some judicial editing to make the discussion more punchy, and eliminate the repetitions and typos within it.

Suggestions
For example page 9, paragraphs starting at line 13 and 33 "The trials which assessed" ....can be moved to the introduction to provide more information.
Some of the discussion paragraphs feel as if they have been dropped in in response to reviewers comments but do not flow so well.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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