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Author’s response to reviews:

Mar 20, 2018

Dear Editor,

First, we thank you for your kind reply. We are very pleased to know that the journal considers our paper worthy of publication.

In the light of the Editor and reviewer’s comments, we have revised our manuscript.

We hope that the revised manuscript meets your expectations and is considered suitable for publication.

We look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jann-Yuan Wang
Responses to Editor comments

1. Please include the email addresses for all authors on the title page. The corresponding author should still be indicated.

Answer: We added the email addresses for all authors on the title page.

2. Please move your Abbreviations section to below the Conclusions section.

Answer: We had moved it accordingly.

3. Please include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

Answer: We had added a statement that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

4. Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.

Answer: We had added the Conclusions section.

5. Please include a Keywords section under the Abstract, with three to ten keywords relating to your manuscript.

Answer: We had added a Keywords section under the Abstract.

6. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Seeing as this is not applicable to your manuscript please state “Not Applicable” in this section.
Answer: Not Applicable.

7. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Answer: We had uploaded the clean versions.

Responses to Michael Barone (Reviewer #2)

The paper has been greatly improved and is almost ready for publication. The authors should just fix the sentence at page 24, line 6 and 7 "As for ARB, it has been demonstrated to ... " should become "As reported for ACEI, also ARB seem to ...".

Answer: Thank you for the comment. We had revised it accordingly.