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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review your manuscript.

This is a thorough and well-written review on an important topic, with is still not familiar to a proportion of oncology professionals. I see that the manuscript is intended as a Debate article type, but I do not really see there is a debate in the way the data is presented and discussed. I think that the paper would flow better if it started with a discussion of the term Oncofertility, which is the term that has been chosen by the authors. In my opinion is "Fertility Preservation" the most accurate term to describe and discuss the methods and options to safeguard fertility potential aiming to attempt future fertility treatments and possibilities. Also internationally, it is the term that has been chosen at the American Society of clinical Oncology, ASCO, at ARSM and at ESHRE.

The title also suggests that it is a systematic review, but it is obvious that this is not. This is a review article and although the reference list seems to me relevant and includes several recent papers, it should be considered by the authors that Fertility Preservation is a rapidly moving field, and most recent references in this topic should be included and the reference list updated as well. There is only one reference from 2015 and the remaining are all older that that. For example, data from all the five Nordic countries has been published in 2016 as regards to female fertility preservation by cryopreservation of ovarian tissue and oocytes (Acta Obstet Gyn Scand, 2016). If this is a systematic review these data should be presented, as well as the German data from the Fertiprotect consortium. The references are also presented in French! That has to be corrected. Also references 1 and 2 seem wrongly inserted.

I would encourage the authors to review their article according to this comments and present updated global data that would fit the intention of their paper. This is an important subject for the growing population of young women that are in need of procedures of Fertility Preservation. I think an improved manuscript should be published.
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