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July 27th, 2017

Manuscript No. BCAN-D-17-00437R1
Dear Dr. Dafne Solera and Dr. Catherine Rice,

We appreciate your decision for considering the manuscript entitled "Impact of comorbid conditions on participation in an organised colorectal cancer screening programme: a cross-sectional study" to be potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Cancer. The manuscript has been revised following the comments provided by yourself and the Reviewers. Our responses to them are outlined in this point-by-point letter.

Editorial Office

1. Following the Editor comment, issues related to the informed consent statement have been clarified and revised in the ‘Ethics approval and consent to participate’ subsection (see ‘Declarations’ section, page 18).

2. According to the Editor suggestion the informed consent statement has been moved to the corresponding ‘Ethics approval and consent to participate’ subsection (see comment #1 and ‘Declarations’ section, page 18).

3. According to the Editor comment the statement ‘Not applicable’ was amended in the ‘Consent for publication’ subsection in ‘Declarations’ section (see page 18).

4. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript, and consequently, this point has been added to the final revised manuscript (see ‘Funding’ subsection, page 18).

5. All authors have made substantive intellectual contributions to be registered as authors in this research article (see ‘Author’s contributions’ section, page 19).

6. Following the Editor’s indication a single, final, clean version of the main manuscript and figures have been uploaded.