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Reviewer’s report:

This is a very nice written manuscript. The purpose is clearly expressed. The survival endpoints is clearly defined. The statistical methods are used appropriately, and the results are well presented and interpreted.

No major statistical concerns and only couple of minor issues:

1. page 2 line 59: Nend spell out CT when it appears the first time
2. Tables 1 & 2: counts need to be presented for each variable
3. page 6 line 177: including post-treatment factor as prognostic factors is misleading. The effect of these post-treatment factors should be assessed using methods other than conventional Cox model (e.g., using Cox model with time-dependent covariates)

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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