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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions

1. Were incentives provided to patients to encourage their participation in this study?
2. It would be helpful to include a correlation matrix to show associations between each of the outcome variables at M0 or M12 e.g., PTSD, depression, anxiety, MCS, PCS
3. Did you incur any barriers or setbacks during the implementation of this process?
4. What advice can you provide to providers i.e. lessons learned?
5. Did the increase in symptom detection that was caused by the AMA-AC lead to additional referrals to clinical and psychosocial providers? If so, please specify in the Methods section how this was coordinated.
6. Did you collect program evaluation data such as provider and patient satisfaction?
7. Line 317: can you explain why you think that the GP contacted the oncologist before referring the patient to another specialist, and how this added cost/time to the process?
8. Please add the fact that PCS and MCS scores were not adjusted for age as a limitation. A score of 50 is standardized for the general population - your sample was older and you would expect lower PCS and higher MCS scores.
9. Line 85: What is meant by the term "aside"? Can you clarify the meaning?

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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