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Reviewer's report:

The paper has been improved quite a lot as per the suggestions provided by me. However, the quality of English is still poor. Errors have remained in the old portions and the newer ones included are too much weak. I am listing up a few below but it is not comprehensive. The authors should carefully revise the paper once to make it linguistically better.

Please rewrite the portion “Since clinical outcome data for these patients is not available” in the Abstract as “Since clinical outcome data for these patients are not available”.

In the third sentence of page 8 “to includemiRNA” should be “to include miRNA”.

In the last line (subsection header) of page 7: give a space between “(NSAID)Model”. This subsection is not well written.

The first complete sentence (“The p-value to show ... ”) in page 7 should be rewritten. It is erroneous.

In page 8: “The Model Availability” can be written as “The Availability of the Model” or simply “Availability”. The sentence under this header should end with a full stop.

In page 10: when you write "cancer stage (III) did not respond" then it appears like a separate point number (III). Why don't you write "stage III" or "stage 3" only?

The Conclusion (with too much details) can be separated into Discussion and Conclusion portions.

In some cases, the statistical tests are also required to be more explanatory.

Level of interest:An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English:Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review:Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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