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Reviewer's report:

The authors have adequately addressed my previous comments.

Minor Essential Revisions

1. Page 10, line 204: The numbers of studies supporting HPV DNA testing, co-testing, and cytology alone do not add up to 17. Please explain.
2. Page 16, line 356: Results for the 9-valent HPV vaccine are now available, see the paper by Joura et al. (NEJM 2015).
3. Table 2 is very useful. Tables 1 and 3 are probably better placed in the supplementary material
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