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**Author's response to reviews:**

Answers to Reviewer #1:

- According to the response, I think the authors did ordered logistic regression model. In table 3, does odds ratio means of probability of >6 months duration over <3 months? When seeing a table 3, I have a feeling that they did binomial regression model.

We agree with the Reviewer that this point was not clearly explained in our manuscript. We therefore modified the Text accordingly by specifying that for multivariate analysis, AA treatment duration was classified in two categories: # 3 month and >3 months (line 202 of the revised manuscript)

This point was also clarified in the Statistical Method section by specifying that two categories (# 3 month and >3 months) were considered for the identification of predictive factors of AA treatment duration (line 214 of the revised manuscript).

- Page 11, lines 286-287, P<0.0001. P-value described in table 3 is <0.001.
Which one is correct?

The p-value that is correct is 0.0001. This has been corrected in Table 3 of the revised manuscript

- Page 11, line 287, HR. OR is correct.

HR has been changed to OR page 11 line 287, according to the Reviewer remark.