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Dear editor

Thank you very much for your email with regard to our manuscript entitled “miR-141 and miR-200b is closely related to invasive ability and considered as decision-making biomarkers for extent of PLND during Cystectomy (MS: 6702757091446335)” together with the comments on 24-Jan-2015. Those comments are valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our manuscript. We have studied comments carefully and made a revision accordingly. Major revisions or additions are highlighted in red in the revised manuscript.

We would like to resubmit the revised manuscript to *BMC Cancer* and appreciate your reconsideration.

If there are any problems with our manuscript, please don’t hesitate to contact us.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Corresponding author:

Yuan Li, MD

liyuan_xyyy@163.com
Point by point responses to comments:

1. Please include email addresses for all authors on your title page.

Response: Email addressed for all authors have been added in title page (page 1, line 21).

2. Please confirm whether you obtained written informed consent from patients.

Response: We confirm written informed consent had been obtained from all included patients. We could sent you the template of informed consent in Chinese to you if it is needed.

3. Please clarify the ethics and consent procedure for use of urine samples, in the methods section of your manuscript.

Response: “Agreement of collecting their urine and written informed consent have been obtained from all included patients.” have been added in the methods (page 8, line 20).

4. Please include funding sources for your study and each author in acknowledgements.

Response: Funding sources has been moved to the acknowledgements (page 17, line 14).

5. We have noticed various grammatical errors in your manuscript.

Response: Our manuscript has been edited by an editorial company in Ireland (International Science Editing).