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Dear Editor,

We are appreciative of your careful reading and reviewing on our manuscript (MS: 1387221714149835). Accordingly, this manuscript has been revised in response to every concern raised by the editors. We hope the revised manuscript will meet the journal’s standard. Below you will find our point-to-point responses to the editorial comments.

EDITORIAL REQUEST:

1. Please clarify whether your animal experiments were approved by an animal ethics committee. Authors are strongly encouraged to adhere to relevant reporting standards, conform to the Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines (http://www.nc3rs.org.uk/page.asp?id=1357)

Authors’ reply: As for the editor’s concern, the ethics statement has been supplemented in the revised manuscript as follows:

All animal treatments were performed strictly in accordance with international ethical guidelines and the National Institutes of Health Guide concerning the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Zhejiang University.

2. Please include email addresses for all authors on your title page.

Authors’ reply: As for the editor’s concern, the email addresses for all authors have been provided on the title page.
3. Please ensure you title your conclusion section.

Authors’ reply: As for the editor’s concern, the separate conclusion section has been provided in the revised manuscript.