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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr Coore,

Thank you very much for your positive feedback and detailed comments, we appreciate very much your time and input to improve this manuscript.

Please, kindly find below a point-by-point response for the reviewed manuscript. In our comment matrix, we provide a detailed response to each reviewer/editorial point raised, describing what amendments have been made to the manuscript text and where these can be found.

Kind regards,
Miguel Pugliese and colleagues

Editor:
1. Please state in the cover letter whether the maps depicted in figure 1 are your own or taken from another source. If taken from another source please acknowledge the source in the figure legend, and if it is under copyright also state the written permission given to use and adapt it. If the above conditions are not met the image needs to be removed. Please note the editors may request proof of permission at any time. Should you require an alternative source you may wish to try Wikimedia Commons:
http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Main_Page
Answer:
Thank you very much for highlighting this aspect on the new figure. The map was created by Dr Kerry Wong (mentioned accordingly in the acknowledgements). Map data was sourced from this link: https://data.humdata.org/dataset/egypt-administrative-boundaries-levels-0-3 . Permission to reuse is given under the CC BY-IGO license (see more at https://data.humdata.org/about/license ). The map was
created using the R.

Editor:
2. In the Ethics approval and consent to participate section, please include a brief statement indicating that ethics approval and consent was obtained for the original study and that ethics approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine approved for your secondary-data analysis.
Answer:
Thank you for double-checking on this key aspect. Please kindly see the text under “Ethics approval and consent to participate” in lines 443 to 454 on the manuscript. The details regarding the local ethics approval and the consent process are provided in this section, along with a statement confirming the ethical approval from the Research Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.

Editor:
3. Please include the full name of MSD in the funding section.
Answer:
Thank you. We have included now the full name under funding in lines 470 and 471.

Editor:
4. Please remove the response to reviewer and cover letter from the file inventory, as it is no longer needed at this stage
Answer:
Thank you. We have now removed the reviewer and cover letter from the file inventory

Editor:
5. Please proofread and ensure that when you upload your revised submission it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

Thank you, we have now proofread the article and we have uploaded a revised submission in the final form for publication. As part of this task, we have removed tracked changes and highlighting and included only a single clean copy.