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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this resubmitted paper. I see the authors have responded to previous comments (although the track changed document only included one spelling correction). I have a few additional comments to consider:

I would suggest the use of the term birth rather than delivery - so normal vaginal birth instead of vaginal delivery. This is more in keeping with contemporary language and is more respectful of women's power. Equally, I prefer caesarean section rather than caesarean delivery.

The title does not mention migration but the opening sentence of the Abstract and the second para of the paper are about migration which feel a bit odd. Perhaps the title needs to be reconsidered and include migration if this is such a focus?

The Introduction does not make a clear link between migration and economic disadvantage. Given that is the premise of the paper, that would be helpful.

Crash caesarean is not really a technical term. I would suggest urgent instead.

In Table 3 - is the OR really an adjusted OR? If so - I suggest the heading row reflects this - Adjusted OR.

When 95% CIs are presented it is necessary to present P values. I suggest these are removed. A greater p value does not necessary mean more significant results.

A significant limitation would seem to be the lack of recent migrants from the Middle East and Africa. This is sort of mentioned but needs to be more clearly articulated.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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