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Reviewer's report:

The authors discuss about the management of pre-eclampsia and perinatal death.

I have some major concerns against publication:

ABSTRACT
1) line 27: the sentence should be rephrased

INTRODUCTION
1) line 36: change "&" with "and";
2) line 42: "the rate of increase" of what?
3) line 53: please correct "2015" with "2016"

METHODS
1) line 53: why do you use "100000" as pathological cut-off for platelet count?

RESULTS
1) patterns of pre-eclampsia management should be described according to blood pressure values, which should be reported
2) is hydralazine your first choice of treatment? why didn't you use labetalol in women with severe pre-eclampsia?
3) line 27: why 239 patients?
4) the mode of delivery and perinatal outcome should be reported according to pre-eclampsia severity

5) gestational age should be reported as weeks+days, instead of decimal numbers

6) the mean values of Apgar score are not consistent with your mean gestation age at delivery: can you explain it?

7) in cases affected by mild pre-eclampsia, which were the causes of 23.4% of perinatal death?

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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