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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the changes you have made to your paper following the review process. It is evident that you have gone to considerable effort to address our comments and tighten up your paper. I appreciate the edits, clarifications, and additions you have made, specifically in the Results and Discussion sections. In my opinion it has really increased the strength of your paper.

I still think that the paper could benefit from a few minor but essential edits both for clarity and for comprehension. There are a few missing words (ex. Line 10, Page 6 "cancer" after breast) and spelling errors (Line 8, Page 12 - significantly is spelled incorrectly and "compare" should be compared).

As I have said, I found that you have really added great explanations into your results and discussion sections, however there are still a few sections that I found unclear.

Line 18 on Page 13 to Line 13 on Page 14 - I fail to see how this adds to your discussion section.

Line 20-23 on Page 15 and Line 4-9 on Page 16 - these sentences need a re-write for clarity.

Line 15 on Page 16 - could you clarify WHO are the people who prefer formula feeding in this statement and why they prefer it?

Line 1-2 on Page 17 - this sentence requires a re-write for clarity.

I like what you have added in terms of extending this intervention for the postpartum period in future research.

I do think that your Conclusion section could benefit from an additional statement or two on how this intervention and others like it could positively benefit women and their babies in terms of morbidity and mortality when breastfeeding rates are increased (especially exclusive breastfeeding).

I wish you all the best with this publication and your future research.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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**Quality of written English**
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Needs some language corrections before being published
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