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We sincerely thank the reviewers for dedicating their time to review our meta-analysis. We assess the reviewers' comment. All the comments were answered.

1- The title reflects the study accurately but not original matalysis perfored in 2013 in the cauntary. It is a global issue with adverse outcomes for the mother, child, family, and society. Might be update of the previous study


The present study was exclusively focused on the prevalence of unwanted pregnancy in Iran. Because of health policies and strategies in favor of population growth, currently all methods of contraception are forbidden in Iran. There was one previous study on this matter in Iran, and we reported the updated results.

2- Plagiarism .. There are few sentences in the manuscript taken from the web  33%

Our research team is strictly committed to research ethics. In addition, the text was first written in Persian and then translated to English, therefore, plagiarism is not very likely.

3- References; total 36, some references are old before 2009 not verified or not checked.

The references were reexamined. Among three references that were old (published before 2009), one was a main reference about heterogeneity and should have been kept due to its importance. The two other references were also important, and removing them would have made the content unclear. I hope the dear reviewers find this explanation acceptable.

4- Where a mandatory Declarations section is not relevant to your study design or article type, please write "Not applicable" in these sections.

It was corrected.

We highlighted revised text with yellow.

Sincerely,

Reza