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NOTES TO THE AUTHOR

Thank you for the opportunity to read and review your paper. It addresses an important subject, and your study appears to have been an interesting one.

I have read the manuscript and my comments are:

1. In conclusion part should be in details such as what the authors recommend about the HPV vaccination base on many study that the authors have already review and read them. It will be interesting if the authors do that.

2. I recommended that the authors make it especially for the result of each manuscript, I think it will be easy to understand.

Jakarta, June, 2019

(Yenita Agus, PhD)

Faculty of Health Sciences Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University
ur comments to the authors.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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