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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Thank you for clarifying that the need for written consent was waived. However, to ensure that your consent procedures are clear, please clarify whether the need for consent (in any form) was waived or whether another form of consent was obtained instead.

We have ensured with our Data Protection Officer that our consent procedure is clear and in accordance with the regulations. Changes have been made in the text:

This study was approved by the French Committee for Data Protection (Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté - Authorization number 455451) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The database was used in accordance with article 6-1-c (Lawfulness of processing) of the European General Data Protection Regulation. Consent to participate was waived due to the retrospective and non-interventional nature of the study.