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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Thank you for indicating that written consent was not obtained. However, we would like to request that you please include a statement on whether the need for consent was waived by an IRB or if national guidelines have established that studies such as this doesn’t require consent, in the Ethics approval and consent to participate section.

In order to address this point, we have added the following sentence to the the Ethics approval and consent to participate section.(please see page 19):

"Written consent was not needed for this study according to article 6-1-c (Lawfulness of processing) of the European General Data Protection Regulation".

2. Please note that all authors should be named by using their full initials rather than first initial and last name, In the Authors Contributions section.

The modifications have been made

3. Please remove your response to reviewers, as it is no longer needed at this stage.

The modification has been made.
4. Please proofread and ensure that when you upload your revised submission that it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes, colored text, or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

We checked these points.