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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors, thank you for submitting this interesting manuscript with very high relevance.

Herewith a few comments for consideration:

Abstract
Please clarify that mortality ratio (412) is expressed towards 100,000 live births

The statement about female providers in the conclusions would be easier to place if somewhere in the abstract it is explained what is considered culturally appropriate in the specific context concerning the sex of the providers. I would advise to make the conclusions from the (long) manuscript more reflect in the conclusions of the abstract.

Methods
I imagine that not ‘All women who gave birth vaginally at JUMC during the study period were recruited for the Study’ because some of them may not have been given consent to be included? Please specify the consent as an inclusion criterion.

Ethical clearance: please clarify when and from which independent committee ethical clearance for the study was received. May this be the most important concern about this manuscript.

Discussion and conclusions
No further comments: well elaborated.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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